

## **CSC696H: Advanced Topics in Probabilistic Graphical Models**

### **The Exponential Family**

**Prof. Jason Pacheco** 

## **Office Hours**

- Schedule
  - Tuesdays, 3-4:30pm
  - Thursdays, 11-12:30pm
  - Some availability outside hours and in-person (MSG me on Piazza)
- Zoom link in D2L and on Piazza (Note: D2L schedule only shows Tuesday meeting)
- Some reasons to use office hours:
  - Discuss upcoming paper presentation
  - Discuss semester project ideas / details
  - Discuss details of a paper / material that you didn't understand
  - Anything else course-related

## **Critical Reading Summaries**

- Starting this Wednesday all readings will require critical summaries
- I have added a grade item to D2L for 1<sup>st</sup> half of summaries
  - Nothing to hand in on D2L
  - Append summaries to critical\_summary.md in Github repo
  - For full credit make sure to push summaries to Github regularly
- Short paragraph that answers the following:
  - What are the strengths?
  - What are the weaknesses (what would you improve)?
  - What details did you have a hard time understanding?

## Outline

- Definition & Examples
- Conjugate Prior
- Parameters & Properties

## Outline

- Definition & Examples
- Conjugate Prior
- Parameters & Properties

# The Exponential Family

- Class of parametric distributions with PMF/PDF characterized by:
  - Parameters
  - Sufficient statistics of the random variable (RV)
  - Other functions of the RV and parameters for normalization
- Includes many well-known discrete and continuous distributions:
  - Gaussian
  - Bernoulli
  - Binomial
  - Multinomial
  - Beta
  - Gamma
  - Poisson
  - many many more...

## The Exponential Family

**Definition** Let X be a RV with *sufficient statistics*  $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . An <u>exponential family distribution</u> with *natural parameters*  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  has PMF/PDF,

$$p(x) = h(x) \exp\left\{\eta^T \phi(x) - A(\eta)\right\}$$

With base measure h(x) and log-partition function:

$$A(\eta) = \log \int \exp\left\{\eta^T \phi(x)\right\} h(x) dx$$

## Why the Exponential Family?

$$p(x) = h(x) \exp\left\{\eta^T \phi(x) - A(\eta)\right\}$$
$$A(\eta) = \log \int \exp\left\{\eta^T \phi(x)\right\} h(x) dx$$

 $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow$  vector of *sufficient statistics* (features) defining the family  $\eta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow$  vector of *natural parameters* indexing particular distributions

- Includes many popular probability distributions: *Bernoulli (binary), Categorical, Poisson (counts), Exponential (positive), Gaussian (real), …*
- Maximum likelihood (ML) learning is simple: *moment matching of sufficient statistics*
- Bayesian learning is simple: *conjugate priors are available*
- The *maximum entropy* interpretation: Among all distributions with certain moments of interest, the exponential family is the most random (makes fewest assumptions)

## Gaussian (Normal) Distribution

PDF parameterized with mean (location)  $\mu$  and variance (scale)  $\sigma^2$  parameters,

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2/\sigma^2}$$

We say 
$$x \sim \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2)$$
 .

#### **Useful Properties**

• Closed under additivity:

 $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2) \qquad Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$  $X + Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x + \mu_y, \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2)$ 

- Closed under linear functions (a and b constant):  $aX+b\sim \mathcal{N}(a\mu_x+b,a^2\sigma_x^2)$ 





$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid m, \sigma^2)$$

**Normal PDF** 

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid m, \sigma^2)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2\sigma^{-2}\right\}$$

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid m, \sigma^2)$$
Normal PDF
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2\sigma^{-2}\right\}$$
Move  $\sigma^{-1}$  inside
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2\sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\}$$

$$p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid m, \sigma^{2})$$
Normal PDF 
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^{2}\sigma^{-2}\right\}$$
Move  $\sigma^{-1}$  inside 
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^{2}\sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^{2}\right\}$$
Expand quadratic 
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}\sigma^{-2} + x\sigma^{-2}m - \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^{2}\right\}$$

$$\begin{split} p(x) &= \mathcal{N}(x \mid m, \sigma^2) \\ \text{Normal PDF} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2 \sigma^{-2}\right\} \\ \text{Move } \sigma^{-1} \text{ inside} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2 \sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\} \\ \text{Expand quadratic} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2 \sigma^{-2} + x\sigma^{-2}m - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\} \\ \text{Vectorize} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}\sigma^{-2}m\\-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2}\end{array}\right)^T \left(\begin{array}{c}x\\x^2\end{array}\right) - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} p(x) &= \mathcal{N}(x \mid m, \sigma^2) \\ \text{Normal PDF} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2\sigma^{-2}\right\} \\ \text{Move } \sigma^{-1} \text{ inside} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^2\sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\} \\ \text{Expand quadratic} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2\sigma^{-2} + x\sigma^{-2}m - \frac{1}{2}m^2\sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\} \\ \text{Vectorize} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}\sigma^{-2}m\\-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2}\end{array}\right)^T \left(\begin{array}{c}x\\x^2\end{array}\right) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\sigma^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2\right\} \\ h(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \eta = \left(\begin{array}{c}\sigma^{-2}m\\-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2}\end{array}\right), \quad \phi(x) = \left(\begin{array}{c}x\\x^2\end{array}\right), \quad A(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\sigma^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\log\sigma^2 \end{split}$$

## **Bernoulli Distribution**

**Bernoulli** A.k.a. the coinflip distribution on binary RVs  $X \in \{0, 1\}$  $p(X) = \pi^X (1 - \pi)^{(1-X)}$ 

Where  $\pi$  is the probability of **success** (e.g. heads), and also the mean

 $\mathbf{E}[X] = \pi \cdot 1 + (1 - \pi) \cdot 0 = \pi$ 

Suppose we flip N independent coins  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N$ , what is the distribution over their sum  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ 

Num. "successes" out of N trials

**Binomial Dist.** p(Y = k

Num. ways to obtain k successes out of N

$$k) = \binom{N}{k} \pi^k (1-\pi)^{N-k}$$

Binomial Mean:  $\mathbf{E}[Y] = N \cdot \pi$  Sum of means for N indep. Bernoulli RVs



## **Example: Bernoulli Distribution**

Standard form of PMF for  $x \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $0 \le \pi \le 1$ :

Ber
$$(x \mid \pi) = \pi^x (1 - \pi)^{(1-x)}$$

**Exponential Family Form:** Ber $(x \mid \theta) = \exp\{\theta x - \Phi(\theta)\}$ 



**Logistic Function:**  $\pi = (1 + \exp(-\theta))^{-1}$ 

**Logit Function:** 

 $\Phi(\theta) = \log(1 + e^{\theta}) \quad \theta = \log(\pi) - \log(1 - \pi)$ 



## **Categorical Distribution**

**Categorical** *Distribution on integer-valued*  $RVX \in \{1, ..., K\}$ 

$$p(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k^{\mathbf{I}(X=k)}$$
 or  $p(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{I}(X=k) \cdot \pi_k$ 

with parameter  $p(X = k) = \pi_k$  and Kronecker delta:

$$\mathbf{I}(X=k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{If } X=k \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Can also represent X as *one-hot* binary vector,

 $X \in \{0,1\}^K$  where  $\sum_{k=1}^K X_k = 1$  then  $p(X) = \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{X_k}$ 

This representation is special case of the multinomial distribution

## **Example: Categorical Distribution**

## Categorical Distribution: Single roll of a (possibly biased) die

$$\operatorname{Cat}(x \mid \pi) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k^{x_k}$$

Mapping for normalized parameters:  $\eta_k = \log \pi_k$ 

## **Exponential Family Form:**

$$\operatorname{Cat}(x \mid \eta) = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \eta_k x_k - A(\eta)\right\}$$
$$A(\eta) = \log\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \exp(\eta_\ell)\right)$$

Exponential family form is not unique

A linear subspace of exponential family parameters gives the same probabilities, because the features are linearly dependent:  $\sum_k x_k = 1$   $\operatorname{Cat}(x \mid \eta) = \operatorname{Cat}(x \mid \eta + c)$ For any scalar constant c

In a *minimal* exponential family representation, the features must be linearly independent. **Example:** 

$$Ber(x \mid \theta) = \exp\{\theta x - \Phi(\theta)\}\$$

In *overcomplete* exponential family representation, features and/or sufficient statistics are linearly dependent and multiple parameters give same distribution. **Example:** 

$$Ber(x \mid \theta) = \exp\{\theta_1 x + \theta_2(1 - x) - \Phi(\theta_1, \theta_2)\}\$$

## Outline

- Definition & Examples
- Conjugate Prior
- Parameters & Properties

## **Conjugate Prior**

- Given latent variable  $\theta$  and data x we are often interested in the posterior distribution  $p(\theta \mid x)$
- The property of conjugacy ensures that our posterior distribution takes a closed-form

**Definition** We say that prior  $p(\theta)$  is <u>conjugate</u> to likelihood  $q(x \mid \theta)$  if and only if the posterior  $p(\theta \mid x)$  belongs to the *same functional family* as the prior distribution.

**Remark** If the above holds, then we also refer to  $p(\theta)$  and  $q(x \mid \theta)$  as a *conjugate pair*.

**Theorem** All likelihoods  $q(x \mid \theta)$  in the exponential family have a conjugate prior  $p(\theta)$ , which is an exponential family (possibly different)

**Proof** Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be iid from an expfam likelihood,

$$q(x_i \mid \theta) = h(x_i) \exp\left\{\theta^T \phi(x_i) - A(\theta)\right\}$$

Let  $\theta$  have expfam prior with parameters  $\eta = (\eta_1^T, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R})^T$  and,

$$p(\theta \mid \eta) = g(\theta) \exp\left\{\eta_1^T \theta - \eta_2 A(\theta) - B(\eta)\right\}$$

with log-partition  $B(\eta)$  and sufficient statistics vector  $\phi(\theta) = (\theta^T, A(\theta))^T$ 

N $p(\theta \mid x_{1:N}, \eta) \propto p(\theta \mid \eta) \prod q(x_i \mid \theta)$ i=1

$$p(\theta \mid x_{1:N}, \eta) \propto p(\theta \mid \eta) \prod_{i=1}^{N} q(x_i \mid \theta)$$

Def'n of p & q

$$= g(\theta) \exp\left\{\eta_1^T \theta - \eta_2 A(\theta) - B(\eta)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^N h(x_i) \exp\left\{\theta^T \phi(x_i) - A(\theta)\right\}$$

$$p(\theta \mid x_{1:N}, \eta) \propto p(\theta \mid \eta) \prod_{i=1}^{N} q(x_i \mid \theta)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Def'n of} \\ \mathbf{p \& q} \end{array} = g(\theta) \exp\left\{\eta_1^T \theta - \eta_2 A(\theta) - B(\eta)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{N} h(x_i) \exp\left\{\theta^T \phi(x_i) - A(\theta)\right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Collect terms} \ \propto g(\theta) \exp\left\{\theta^T \left(\eta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(x_i)\right) - (\eta_2 + N)A(\theta)\right\} \end{array}$$

$$p(\theta \mid x_{1:N}, \eta) \propto p(\theta \mid \eta) \prod_{i=1}^{N} q(x_i \mid \theta)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Def'n of} \\ p \& q \end{array} = g(\theta) \exp\left\{\eta_1^T \theta - \eta_2 A(\theta) - B(\eta)\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{N} h(x_i) \exp\left\{\theta^T \phi(x_i) - A(\theta)\right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Collect terms} \ \propto g(\theta) \exp\left\{\theta^T \left(\eta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(x_i)\right) - (\eta_2 + N)A(\theta)\right\} \\ \operatorname{Def'n of} p \quad \propto p(\theta \mid \tilde{\eta}) \end{array}$$

Where posterior parameters are:  $\tilde{\eta} = (\eta_1^T + \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i)^T, \eta_2 + N)^T$ 

### Example: Beta-Bernoulli

**Bernoulli** *A.k.a. the coinflip distribution on* <u>*binary*</u> *RVs*  $X \in \{0, 1\}$ Bernoulli $(X \mid \theta) = \theta^X (1 - \theta)^{(1 - X)}$ 

Beta distribution on  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  with  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  has PDF,



$$Beta(\theta \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \theta^{\alpha - 1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta - 1}$$

For N coinflips  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  the posterior is,

$$Beta(\theta \mid \alpha + \sum_{i} x_i, \beta + N - \sum_{i} x_i)$$

#### Example: Beta-Bernoulli

After a single coinflip of heads (x=1) the posterior is...

Έ

$$(\theta \mid X = 1, \alpha, \beta) = \text{Beta}(\theta \mid \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha + x \qquad \beta = \beta + 1 - x$$



The prior (red) is a fair coin,

$$Beta(\theta \mid \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.5)$$

After observing one flip, the posterior (blue) concentrates on heads,

$$Beta(\theta \mid \widetilde{\alpha} = 1.5, \widetilde{\beta} = 0.5)$$

What do you expect if we flip N=10 times with 5 heads and 5 tails?

#### **Example: Beta-Bernoulli**

After a N=10 flips (5 heads, 5 tails) we have...

$$p(\theta \mid X = 1, \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.5) = \text{Beta}(\theta \mid \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})$$



## **Other Conjugate Pairs**

Likelihood	Model Parameters	Conjugate Prior
Normal	Mean	Normal
Normal	Mean / Variance	Normal-Inv-Gamma
Multivariate Normal	Mean / Variance	Normal-Inv-Wishart
Multinomial	Probability vector	Dirichlet
Gamma	Rate	Gamma
Poisson	Rate	Gamma
Exponential	Rate	Gamma

Wikipedia has a nice list of standard conjugate forms...

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conjugate\_prior

## Outline

- Definition & Examples
- Conjugate Prior
- Parameters & Properties

#### **Mean Parameters**

We use *natural parameters*  $\eta$  in the exponential family canonical form,

$$p_{\eta}(x) = h(x) \exp\left\{\eta^{T} \phi(x) - A(\eta)\right\}$$

Alternate set of mean parameters given by expected sufficient stats,

$$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)]$$

If family is <u>minimal</u> then there is an invertible mapping between mean/natural parameters

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x] \\ \mathbb{E}[x^2] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \sigma^2 + m^2 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \eta(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{-2}m \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

### **Log-Partition Function**

Derivatives of the log-partition (w.r.t.  $\eta$ ) yield moments of sufficient stats

$$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} A(\eta) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Var}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \eta_i^2} A(\eta)$$

$$A(\eta) = -\frac{\eta_1^2}{4\eta_2} - \frac{1}{2}\log(-2\eta_2) \qquad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} m\sigma^{-2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} A(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}$$

### **Log-Partition Function**

Derivatives of the log-partition (w.r.t.  $\eta$ ) yield moments of sufficient stats

$$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} A(\eta) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Var}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \eta_i^2} A(\eta)$$

$$A(\eta) = -\frac{\eta_1^2}{4\eta_2} - \frac{1}{2}\log(-2\eta_2) \qquad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} m\sigma^{-2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} A(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} = m$$

### **Log-Partition Function**

Derivatives of the log-partition (w.r.t.  $\eta$ ) yield moments of sufficient stats

$$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} A(\eta) \qquad \text{Var}_{p_\eta}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \eta_i^2} A(\eta)$$

$$A(\eta) = -\frac{\eta_1^2}{4\eta_2} - \frac{1}{2}\log(-2\eta_2) \qquad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} m\sigma^{-2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} A(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} = m = \mathbb{E}[\phi_1(x) = x]$$

**Theorem**  $A(\eta)$  is a **convex** function of the natural parameters  $\eta$ 

**Proof** The second derivative is a positive semidefinite covariance matrix

 $\nabla^2_{\eta} A(\eta) = \operatorname{Cov}(\phi(x)) \succeq 0$ 

Important consequences for learning with exponential families:

- Finding gradients is equivalent to finding expected sufficient statistics, or moments, of some current model. This is an inference problem!
- Convexity of log-partition implies parameter space is convex
- Learning is a convex problem: No local optima! At least when we have complete observations...

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Exponential Families

Log-likelihood of observation  $x_i$  is given by,

$$\log p(x_i \mid \eta) = \log h(x_i) + \eta^T \phi(x_i) - A(\eta)$$

Given N iid observations, the *log-likelihood function* equals:

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta^{T} \phi(x_{i})\right] - NA(\eta) + \text{const.}$$

At unique global optimum, the zero-gradient gives:

$$\nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{L}(\eta) = \nabla_{\eta} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta^{T} \phi(x_{i}) \right] - N \nabla A(\eta)$$

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Exponential Families

Log-likelihood of observation  $x_i$  is given by,

$$\log p(x_i \mid \eta) = \log h(x_i) + \eta^T \phi(x_i) - A(\eta)$$

Given N iid observations, the *log-likelihood function* equals:

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta^{T} \phi(x_{i})\right] - NA(\eta) + \text{const.}$$

At unique global optimum, the zero-gradient gives:

$$\nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{L}(\eta) = \nabla_{\eta} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta^{T} \phi(x_{i}) \right] - N \nabla A(\eta) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(x_{i}) \right] - N \mathbb{E}_{p_{\eta}}[\phi(x)]$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{p_{\eta}}[\phi(x)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(x_{i}) \qquad \text{Moment matching con}$$

## Example: Bernoulli Distribution

Bernoulli Distribution: Single toss of a (possibly biased) coin  $Ber(x \mid \mu) = \mu^x (1 - \mu)^{1 - x} \qquad x \in \{0, 1\}$   $\mathbb{E}[x \mid \mu] = \mathbb{P}[x = 1] = \mu \qquad 0 \le \mu \le 1$ 

Exponential Family Form:  $Ber(x \mid \theta) = \exp\{\theta x - \Phi(\theta)\}$ Maximum Likelihood from *L* data:  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} x^{(\ell)}$ 

$$\hat{\theta} = \log\left(\frac{\hat{\mu}}{1-\hat{\mu}}\right)$$

 $\mu = (1 + \exp(-\theta))^{-1}$  $\theta = \log(\mu) - \log(1 - \mu)$ 0.2

## **Other Useful Properties**

Often closed under multiplication / division: 

 $p(x \mid \eta_1)p(x \mid \eta_2) \propto p(x \mid \eta_1 + \eta_2)$   $p(x \mid \eta_1) \div p(x \mid \eta_2) \propto p(x \mid \eta_1 - \eta_2)$ 

If  $\eta_1 + \eta_2$  valid parameters

If  $\eta_1 - \eta_2$  valid parameters

- Posterior predictive of conjugate pair typically closed-form
- > The maximum entropy distribution of data is in exponential family
- Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between two expfams closed-form
- Minimum KL(p||q) with q in expfam given by moment matching,

 $\mathbb{E}_p[\phi(x)] = \mathbb{E}_q[\phi(x)]$  True for any distribution p

## Summary

Family of distributions with PMF/PDF of the form:



Alternate mean parameters as expected sufficient statistics or derivatives of log-partition:

$$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{p_{\eta}}[\phi_i(x)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} A(\eta)$$

# Summary

#### Lots of useful properties

- Allows simultaneous study of many popular probability distributions: Bernoulli (binary), Categorical, Poisson (counts), Exponential (positive), Gaussian (real), ...
- Maximum likelihood (ML) learning is simple: *moment matching of sufficient statistics*
- Bayesian learning is simple: *conjugate priors are available Beta, Dirichlet, Gamma, Gaussian, Wishart, …*
- The *maximum entropy* interpretation: Among all distributions with certain moments of interest, the exponential family is the most random (makes fewest assumptions)
- Parametric and predictive *sufficiency*: For arbitrarily large datasets, optimal learning is possible from a finite-dimensional set of statistics (streaming, big data)
- > All exponential family likelihoods have conjugate priors
  - Means posterior is same distribution as prior
  - Inference reduces to computing posterior parameters