

CSC 665-1: Advanced Topics in Probabilistic Graphical Models

Expectation Propagation

Instructor: Prof. Jason Pacheco

Outline

- Belief Propagation Review
- Expectation propagation
 - Unnormalized Exponential Families
 - EP Algorithm
- Variational Optimization Perspective
 Rethe Variational Problem
 - Bethe Variational Problem
 - EP-Bethe Variational Problem

Pairwise MRF Notation

• Consider the pairwise Markov Random Field $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{s \in \mathcal{V}} \psi_s(x_s) \prod_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{E}} \psi_{st}(x_s, x_t)$$

with vertices $\mathcal V$ and edges $\mathcal E$

- Without loss of generality we ignore observations y
- They can be considered as part of unary potentials $\psi_s(x_s,y)$



Message Passing

Global inference decomposes into local computations via graph structure...



$$p(x_{1}) \propto \iiint \psi_{1}(x_{1})\psi_{12}(x_{1}, x_{2})\psi_{2}(x_{2})\psi_{23}(x_{2}, x_{3})\psi_{3}(x_{3})\psi_{24}(x_{2}, x_{4})\psi_{4}(x_{4}) dx_{4} dx_{3} dx_{2}$$

$$\propto \psi_{1}(x_{1}) \int \psi_{12}(x_{1}, x_{2})\psi_{2}(x_{2}) \left[\int \psi_{23}(x_{2}, x_{3})\psi_{3}(x_{3}) dx_{3}\right] \cdot \left[\int \psi_{24}(x_{2}, x_{4})\psi_{4}(x_{4}) dx_{4}\right] dx_{2}$$

$$m_{32}(x_{2}) \qquad m_{42}(x_{2})$$

$$m_{21}(x_{1}) \propto \int \psi_{12}(x_{1}, x_{2})\psi_{2}(x_{2})m_{32}(x_{2}) m_{42}(x_{2}) dx_{2}$$

Belief Propagation (for Pairwise MRFs)



Belief Propagation

Discrete → Sum-Product

 $x \in \{1, \dots, N\}^D$



Message Update:



Matrix-vector multiplication

Continuous

$$x \in \mathcal{R}^D$$



Message Update:

$$m_{ts}(x_s) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} \psi_{st}(x_s, x_t) \psi_t(x_t) \prod_{k \in \Gamma(t) \setminus s} m_{kt}(x_t) \, dx_t$$

Integral requires conjugacy (e.g. jointly Gaussian)

(Unnormalized) Exponential Families

> We consider exponential family variational distributions:

$$q(x) = \exp\{\eta^T \phi(x) - A(\eta)\}$$
$$A(\eta) = \log \int \exp\{\eta^T \phi(x)\} h(dx)$$

- > EP makes frequent use of an *unnormalized* version: $ExpFam^{U}(x \mid \eta) = exp\{\eta^{T}\phi(x)\}$
- Messages and intermediate quantities are all in ExpFam^U(·)
 Note: Members of ExpFam^U(·) need not be normalizable!

Unnormalized ExpFam Arithmetic

Multiplication is easy with natural parameters

 $\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ExpFam}^{\mathrm{U}}(x \mid \eta) \cdot \operatorname{ExpFam}^{\mathrm{U}}(x \mid \eta_{2}) \\ &= \exp\{\eta_{1}^{T}\phi(x)\} \exp\{\eta_{2}^{T}\phi(x)\} = \exp\{(\eta_{1} + \eta_{2})^{T}\phi(x)\} \\ &= \operatorname{ExpFam}^{\mathrm{U}}(x \mid \eta_{1} + \eta_{2}) \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Multiplication} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \operatorname{Add} \\ &\operatorname{natural parameters} \end{aligned}$

Division easy too!

$$\frac{\operatorname{ExpFam}^{\mathrm{U}}(x \mid \eta_{1})}{\operatorname{ExpFam}^{\mathrm{U}}(x \mid \eta_{2})} = \exp\{(\eta_{1} - \eta_{2})^{T}\phi(x)\}\$$
$$= \operatorname{ExpFam}^{\mathrm{U}}(x \mid \eta_{1} - \eta_{2})$$

Division → Subtract natural parameters

Unnormalizability

Products / ratios of ExpFam^U(·) may not be normalizable!
 Example: Consider two Gaussians

$$\mathcal{N}(x \mid 0, \Lambda_1^{-1}), \quad \text{where } \Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{N}(x \mid 0, \Lambda_2^{-1}), \quad \text{where } \Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The ratio yields negative definite inverse covariance:

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}(x \mid 0, \Lambda_1^{-1})}{\mathcal{N}(x \mid 0, \Lambda_2^{-1})} \Rightarrow \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & 0\\ 0 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Expectation Propagation (for Pairwise MRFs)

Marginal Belief



Step 1: Cavity Function

Remove effect of single message:

$$q_s^{\backslash t}(x_s) = \frac{q_s(x_s)}{m_{ts}(x_s)}$$

Equivalent form:

$$q_s^{\backslash t}(x_s) = \psi_s(x_s) \prod_{k \in \Gamma(s) \backslash t} m_{ks}(x_s)$$

> Belief about x_s without knowledge from x_t

> Messages / cavity in $ExpFam^{U}(\cdot)$



Step 2: Augmented Distribution

This must normalize!

$$\hat{p}_{ts}(x_s) \propto \int_{\mathcal{X}_t} q_t^{\backslash s}(x_t) \psi_{st}(x_s, x_t) q_s^{\backslash t}(x_s) \, dx_t$$

Step 3: Kullback-Leibler Projection



<u>Recall:</u> For exponential families KLprojection solved via momentmatching:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q^{\mathrm{new}}}[\phi(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{p}}[\phi(x)]$$

(e.g. for Gaussian q(x) match mean / variance)

Step 4: Update message

> Message update is then:

$$m_{ts}^{\text{new}}(x_s) = \frac{\psi_s(x_s) m_{ts}^{\text{new}}(x_s) \prod_{k \in \Gamma(s) \setminus t} m_{ks}(x_s)}{\psi_s(x_s) \prod_{k \in \Gamma(s) \setminus t} m_{ks}(x_s)} = \frac{q_s^{\text{new}}(x_s)}{q_s^{\setminus t}(x_t)}$$

> Recall, we can do this by subtracting natural parameters

Repeat updates until fixed point reached:

$$m_{ts}^{
m new}(x_s)=m_{ts}^{
m old}(x_s)$$
 For all messages



Some Known Properties of EP

- \succ When p(x) discrete or Gaussian then:
 - EP equivalent to BP
 - Guaranteed to converge (when p(x) is tree structured)
 - Exact marginal inference
- For non-discrete / non-Gaussian p(x)
 - Approximate, but computable updates
 - Not guaranteed to converge, even for tree-structured p(x)
- > Only requires computable moments for each $\hat{p}_{ts}(x_s)$

Numerical Stability Issues

> EP updates require mean & natural parameter conversion:

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}[\phi(x)] \Leftrightarrow \eta$$

- Moment-matching = Mean parameters
- Multiplication / Division = Natural parameters
- > Conversion can be numerically unstable (e.g. Gaussian):

$$\Sigma = \operatorname{Cov}(X) \Leftrightarrow \eta = \Sigma^{-1}$$

> Augmented distribution may become unnormalizable:

$$\operatorname{Cov}_{\hat{p}}(X) \prec 0$$

Care must be taken during implementation...

Variational Lower Bound

Recall for any distribution q(x) marginal likelihood equals: $\log p(y) = \operatorname{KL}[q(x) || p(x | y)] - \operatorname{KL}[q(x) || p(x, y)]$

Can't compute in general

➢By Gibbs' inequality we have:

 $\mathrm{KL}[q(x) \| p(x \mid y)] \ge 0$

≻Variational lower bound is then:

$$\log p(y) \ge \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} -\mathrm{KL}[q(x) \| p(x, y)] \triangleq \mathcal{L}(q)$$

Variational Families

$$\log p(y) = \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\text{Gibbs}}} \mathcal{L}(q) \ge \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\text{Bethe}}} \mathcal{L}(q) \ge \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\text{MF}}} \mathcal{L}(q)$$

$$q(x) \propto \prod_{s \in \mathcal{V}} q_s(x_s) \prod_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{q_{st}(x_s, x_t)}{q_s(x_s)q_t(x_t)} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{Bethe}}$$

Bethe Variational Problem

Minima of the Bethe variational problem correspond to BP fixed-points (log- $\max_q \mathcal{L}(q)$ messages = Lagrange multipliers) s.t. $\int q_s(x_s) \, dx_s = 1$ t. $\int q_s(x_s) \, dx_s = 1 \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{V}$ $\int \int q_{st}(x_s, x_t) \, dx_s \, dx_t = 1 \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ Normalization $q_s(x_s) = \int q_{st}(x_s, x_t) \, dx_t \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{V}, t \in \Gamma(s)$ Local Marginal Consistency

EP Variational Problem

Minima of the Bethe variational problem correspond to **EP fixed-points** (log- $\max_{q} \mathcal{L}(q)$ messages = Lagrange multipliers) qs.t. $\int q_s(x_s) \, dx_s = 1$ t. $\int q_s(x_s) \, dx_s = 1 \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{V}$ $\int \int q_{st}(x_s, x_t) \, dx_s \, dx_t = 1 \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ Normalization $\mathbb{E}_{a_s}[\phi_s(x_s)] = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{p}_{ts}}[\phi_s(x_s)] \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{V}, t \in \Gamma(s) \quad \text{Local Expectation}$ Consistency

Summary

> BP updates only computable for discrete or Gaussian models

- > EP generalizes BP to models where moments of auxiliary distribution $\hat{p}_{ts}(x_s)$ can be computed (or estimated)
- EP = BP for discrete or Gaussian models (even loopy ones)
- EP optimizes Bethe variational problem over modified local consistency constraints